### 104TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

# H. R. 1463

To provide for the adoption of mandatory standards and procedures governing the actions of arbitrators in the arbitration of labor disputes involving transit agencies operating in the national capital area.

# IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APRIL 6, 1995

Mr. Wolf (for himself and Mr. Davis) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

# A BILL

- To provide for the adoption of mandatory standards and procedures governing the actions of arbitrators in the arbitration of labor disputes involving transit agencies operating in the national capital area.
  - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
  - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
  - 3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**
  - 4 This Act may be cited as the "National Capital Area
  - 5 Interest Arbitration Standards Act of 1995".
  - 6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.
  - 7 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

- 1 (1) affordable public transportation is essential
  2 to the economic vitality of the national capital area
  3 and is an essential component of regional efforts to
  4 improve air quality to meet environmental require5 ments and to improve the health of both residents
  6 of and visitors to the national capital area as well
  7 as to preserve the beauty and dignity of the Nation's
  8 capital;
  - (2) use of mass transit by both residents of and visitors to the national capital area is substantially affected by the prices charged for such mass transit services, prices that are substantially affected by labor costs, since more than <sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub> of operating costs are attributable to labor costs;
  - (3) labor costs incurred in providing mass transit in the national capital area have increased at an alarming rate and wages and benefits of operators and mechanics currently are among the highest in the Nation;
  - (4) higher operating costs incurred for public transit in the national capital area cannot be offset by increasing costs to patrons, since this often discourages ridership and thus undermines the public interest in promoting the use of public transit;

- (5) spiraling labor costs cannot be offset by the governmental entities that are responsible for subsidy payments for public transit services since local governments generally, and the District of Columbia government in particular, are operating under severe fiscal constraints;
  - (6) imposition of mandatory standards applicable to arbitrators resolving arbitration disputes involving interstate compact agencies operating in the national capital area will ensure that wage increases are justified and do not exceed the ability of transit patrons and taxpayers to fund the increase; and
  - (7) Federal legislation is necessary under Article I of section 8 of the United States Constitution to balance the need to moderate and lower labor costs while maintaining industrial peace.
- 17 (b) Purpose.—It is therefore the purpose of this Act
  18 to adopt standards governing arbitration which must be
  19 applied by arbitrators resolving disputes involving inter20 state compact agencies operating in the national capital
  21 area in order to lower operating costs for public transpor22 tation in the Washington metropolitan area.
- 23 SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 24 As used in this Act—
- 25 (1) the term "arbitration" means—

- (A) the arbitration of disputes, regarding 1 2 the terms and conditions of employment, that is 3 required under an interstate compact governing 4 an interstate compact agency operating in the 5 national capital area; and 6 (B) does not include the interpretation and 7 application of rights arising from an existing 8 collective bargaining agreement; 9 (2) the term "arbitrator" refers to either a single arbitrator, or a board of arbitrators, chosen 10 11 under applicable procedures; 12 (3) an interstate compact agency's "funding 13 ability" is the ability of the interstate compact agen-14 cy, or of any governmental jurisdiction which pro-15 vides subsidy payments or budgetary assistance to 16 the interstate compact agency, to obtain the nec-17 essary financial resources to pay for wage and bene-18 fit increases for employees of the interstate compact 19 agency; 20 (4) the term "interstate compact agency operating in the national capital area" means any inter-21 22 state compact agency which provides public transit 23 services;
  - (5) the term "interstate compact agency" means any agency established by an interstate com-

- pact to which the District of Columbia is a signatory; and
  - (6) the term "public welfare" includes, with respect to arbitration under an interstate compact—
    - (A) the financial ability of the individual jurisdictions participating in the compact to pay for the costs of providing public transit services; and
  - (B) the average per capita tax burden, during the term of the collective bargaining agreement to which the arbitration relates, of the residents of the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, and the effect of an arbitration award rendered pursuant to such arbitration on the respective income or property tax rates of the jurisdictions which provide subsidy payments to the interstate compact agency established under the compact.

#### 19 SEC. 4. STANDARDS FOR ARBITRATORS.

20 (a) FACTORS IN MAKING ARBITRARY AWARD.—An arbitrator rendering an arbitration award involving the employees of an interstate compact agency operating in the national capital area may not make a finding or a decision for inclusion in a collective bargaining agreement gov-

- 1 erning conditions of employment without considering the2 following factors:
- 3 (1) The existing terms and conditions of em-4 ployment of the employees in the bargaining unit.
  - (2) All available financial resources of the interstate compact agency.
    - (3) The annual increase or decrease in consumer prices for goods and services as reflected in the most recent consumer price index for the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.
    - (4) The wages, benefits, and terms and conditions of the employment of other employees who perform, in other jurisdictions in the Washington, D.C. standard metropolitan statistical area, services similar to those in the bargaining unit.
    - (5) The special nature of the work performed by the employees in the bargaining unit, including any hazards or the relative ease of employment, physical requirements, educational qualifications, job training and skills, shift assignments, and the demands placed upon the employees as compared to other employees of the interstate compact agency.

1	(6) The interests and welfare of the employees
2	in the bargaining unit, including—
3	(A) the overall compensation presently re-
4	ceived by the employees, having regard not only
5	for wage rates but also for wages for time not
6	worked, including vacations, holidays, and other
7	excused absences;
8	(B) all benefits received by the employees,
9	including previous bonuses, insurance, and pen-
10	sions; and
11	(C) the continuity and stability of employ-
12	ment.
13	(7) The public welfare.
14	(b) Compact Agency's Funding Ability.—An ar-
15	bitrator rendering an arbitration award involving the em-
16	ployees of an interstate compact agency operating in the
17	national capital area may not, with respect to a collective
18	bargaining agreement governing conditions of employ-
19	ment, provide for salaries and other benefits that exceed
20	the interstate compact agency's funding ability.
21	(c) REQUIREMENTS FOR FINAL AWARD.—In resolv-
22	ing a dispute submitted to arbitration involving the em-
23	ployees of an interstate compact agency operating in the
24	national capital area, the arbitrator shall issue a written
25	award that demonstrates that all the factors set forth in

- 1 subsections (a) and (b) have been considered and applied.
- 2 An award may grant an increase in pay rates or benefits
- 3 (including insurance and pension benefits), or reduce
- 4 hours of work, only if the arbitrator concludes that any
- 5 costs to the agency do not adversely affect the public wel-
- 6 fare. The arbitrator's conclusion regarding the public wel-
- 7 fare must be supported by substantial evidence.

## 8 SEC. 5. PROCEDURES FOR ENFORCEMENT OF AWARDS.

- 9 (a) Modifications and Finality of Award.—In
- 10 the case of an arbitration award to which section 4 applies,
- 11 the interstate compact agency and the employees in the
- 12 bargaining unit, through their representative, may agree
- 13 in writing upon any modifications to the award within 10
- 14 days after the award is received by the parties. After the
- 15 end of that 10-day period, the award, with any such modi-
- 16 fications, shall become binding upon the interstate com-
- 17 pact agency, the employees in the bargaining unit, and
- 18 the employees' representative.
- 19 (b) IMPLEMENTATION.—Each party to an award that
- 20 becomes binding under subsection (a) shall take all actions
- 21 necessary to implement the award.
- (c) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Within 60 days after an
- 23 award becomes binding under subsection (a), the inter-
- 24 state compact agency or the exclusive representative of the
- 25 employees concerned may file a civil action in a court

1	which has jurisdiction over the interstate compact agency
2	for review of the award. The court shall review the award
3	on the record, and shall vacate the award or any part of
4	the award, after notice and a hearing, if—
5	(1) the award is in violation of applicable law
6	(2) the arbitrator exceeded the arbitrator's pow
7	ers;
8	(3) the decision by the arbitrator is arbitrary or
9	capricious;
10	(4) the arbitrator conducted the hearing con
11	trary to the provisions of this Act or other statutes
12	or rules that apply to the arbitration so as to sub
13	stantially prejudice the rights of a party;
14	(5) there was partiality or misconduct by the
15	arbitrator prejudicing the rights of a party;
16	(6) the award was procured by corruption
17	fraud, or bias on the part of the arbitrator; or
18	(7) the arbitrator did not comply with the pro

 $\bigcirc$ 

visions of section 4.